

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



HC

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,746	09/28/2001	Tom E. Pearson	219.40440X00	2165
20457	7590 04/23/2003			
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			EXAMINER	
			ARBES, CARL J	
ARLINGTON	ARLINGTON, VA 22209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	4
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

No

	<u>"</u>	PR				
;	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/964,746	PEARSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	C. J. Arbes	3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 S	September 2001 and 25 February	<u>′ 2002</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 27-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		·				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/964,746

Art Unit: 3729

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims1-26, drawn to a method of placing a soldered pattern on a pad, classified in class 29, subclass 840.
- II Claims 27-30, drawn to a printed circuit board, classified in class 174, subclass 250.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as using a process other than stenciling to make the pattern.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,746

Art Unit: 3729

During a telephone conversation with David C. Oren (Registration No. 38,694) on 25 February 2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-26. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 27-30 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

An Eximination on the merits of Claims 1-26 now follows.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7-10, 12, 14-17, 19, as understood, 21 as understood and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tadauchi et al.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the in vention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,746

Art Unit: 3729

Claims 3-5, 11,13, 18, 20, 22, as understood, and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tadauchi et al.

Tadauchi et al teach a soldering method wherein a binary solder consisting of an eutectic alloy of tin and another metal, exclusive of lead) is prepared. The content of the other metallic components is 0.1% (by weight). Te binary alloy is dispensed onto a base material which can be a PcB (Cf. Col. 8) with a pad (Cf. Claim 7). One observes than when Pb is present in the alloy a film of Lead Oxide is formed so that when the solder is placed onto a substrate the the Pead-containing solder will flow relatively easily. Whereas when there is a Tin-Zinc there is a stronger film formed and this film hinders molten solder from contacting the base material. (Cf. Col. 5) It would have been obvious to place solder through at least one stencil aperture and onto a pad of a PcB since this is old and hence obvious in this art. The limitations recited in Claims 4, 5, 13, 18 are held to be mere design choice inasmuch as these limitations solve no specific problem nor are for any specific purpose.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to C. J. Arbes at telephone number (703)308-1857.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4